

## Recapitu-What?

I guess it's time to write about the one subject that is so grotesque I tend to avoid it, but so important that everyone needs to be aware of it. The science is so bad and the repercussion even worse - it cannot be overlooked!

It's called, "ontogeny recapitulates phylogeny" or "recapitulation" for short. You know what that means. I've explained it before. It's a great big term that modern scientists use to say, "shut up and listen because I know something you don't know." Then watch out, because a serious dose of deception is about to be shoved out!

Before we get into definitions, take a look at your baby brother. If you don't have one, go borrow one. A one year old is perfect. Now, raise your arm straight up over your head. Bend it at the elbow across your head. Scratch the ear on the opposite side. Now tell your baby brother to do the same. Don't laugh too hard! You'll give him a complex. Make sure you explain to him that you are laughing 'with' him, not 'at' him. Never mind. He probably wouldn't understand. (Besides, it would be a lie.) The reason this is so funny is because the baby brother can't do it. Nor is he even close. His proportions are just too different from yours.

As we develop, our proportions change. If you don't think this change is so radical, don't forget you were a little round dot once! Today, well, even if you're still round, you're not a little dot! Our proportions change dramatically as we develop! (especially for me in recent years.)

Our proportions change the most during our first few months of development. But then that makes sense. None of us are a little round dot anymore. The theory, I mean lie, called "recapitulation," is the ridiculous idea that the first few months of our development, "ontogeny," actually repeats the evolutionary sequence, "phylogeny," from amoeba to fish to frog to man before we are even born. This theory was popularized by Ernst Haeckel in the 1860's. He invented the word, "phylogeny," and drew pictures of various embryos to illustrate his point. It was so exciting (to evolutionists) that they embraced it immediately without any question as to whether or not the theory had any validity at all. The theory was swiftly and categorically proven to be ridiculous, but still shows up in modern textbooks today! First of all, Mr. Haeckel took great liberties in his artwork. They were significantly doctored to enhance his theory. (When these deceptions were pointed out to him, he admitted the misrepresentation, but bitterly rejected the criticism.) Secondly, the DNA of a person is the exact same DNA from the first little round dot, til the day we die! We NEVER pass through any intermediate evolutionary forms!

"Hey wait a minute," you say. "What about the yolk sack, the gills, and the tail in our early stage as a fetus?" Well, there are four things wrong with this question:

- 1) We never do have a "yolk sack." We do have something that looks like a yolk sack and scientists have deceptively named it that. However, it has no yolk, and provides no nourishment! The so called "yolk sack" is a vital organ that produces our first blood cells. At this young age, a person has no bone marrow with which to produce the blood, yet, obviously we need blood to develop the bones! What an incredible design!
- 2) Gills?!? You must be joking! Yes, there are slits that have a "gill-like" appearance. But gills have an incredible design to remove oxygen from water and transport it to the blood stream. These "slits" have no such features at all! Ironically - these "slits" were still open when my cousin was born. The scientific community had a hey day. They filmed the surgeon closing up these "gills" and broadcast the entire procedure on national television! The theory had been disproved years prior, but the agenda had been

firmly set. No - these “slits” aren’t gills or have any relation to gills. They are merely “pouches” that develop into ear canals, the parathyroid, and the thymus glands.

- 3) We also never do have a tail! What looks like a tail is deceptively named the “tail bone,” BUT we still have that same tail bone as a full grown adult! It’s the bone that serves as the anchor for many of our back muscles. If you think that bone is unnecessary and left over from some prehistoric past, you’ll change that thought quite instantly if you ever break it! It’s one of the most important bones (or set of bones) in our body and it has nothing to do with a tail!
- 4) Would you remove this ugly word called, “fetus” from your vocabulary. What is a “fetus” anyway? The evolutionary world would have you believe it’s some foreign impersonal blob. This so called “fetus” is a human being just like you or me! Of course the proportions are a bit different. They’re supposed to be. Of course there are some unusual shapes like the yolk sack and the tail bone. The body functions entirely different before birth. The various vital organs don’t just magically appear. They have to develop. Since they have to develop, naturally things need to work a little differently along the way. You have probably heard the term, “vestigial organ?” This is supposedly an organ in our body with no useful function. The evolutionary thought was that they are left over from our prehistoric ancestors. The fact that many of them are and aren’t found in animals that are and aren’t in our alleged family tree disproves this theory with no further debate. Scientists, as they continue to study embryology have discovered that there is no such thing as a “vestigial organ.” Everything in our bodies either has a purpose or had a purpose during our early development! No, a baby is never a “fetus.” A baby is a person designed by God with a soul and a purpose in life! *I will praise thee; for I am fearfully and wonderfully made: marvelous are thy works; and that my soul knoweth right well. My substance was not hid from thee, when I was made in secret, and curiously wrought in the lowest parts of the earth. Thine eyes did see my substance, yet being unperfect; and in thy book all my members were written, which in continuance were fashioned, when as yet there was none of them.* Ps 139:14-167

This science “recapitulation” is bad science, but the results are even worse. It was this theory that persuaded the judges of Roe vs Wade. It is this theory that is quoted when the abortion clinics tell the expectant mother that the baby is not a person but merely “in the fish stage.” It was this theory, as bad as the science was, that set into motion the murder of millions of babies.

This brings one more point to light. Would you consider removing both of these innocuous clinical words from your vocabulary? Remove the words “abortion” and “fetus” from your vocabulary! They are not innocuous! They are unnecessary and harmful. There is no such thing as an “aborted fetus.” (Aside from an unfortunate natural miscarriage.) By the more common use of the word, “abortion” is the “intentional murder of a person that is too young to even cry for help!” If we call it what it is, perhaps people will start to get the message.

By now I have probably set your blood into the boiling stage. I sincerely apologize for that. As mentioned in the first paragraph, I always hesitate to discuss this theory, but the consequences are so profound they must be addressed. So - to lower your blood pressure - don’t forget to try the “arm across the head” experiment with your little brother! He will enjoy the experiment as much as you!

Jay A Auxt