

Bait & Switch

This article may expose the most fundamental technical issue with respect to the deception of evolution. This issue will deal with the most fundamental spiritual results of this deception.

When Darwin proposed his theory of evolution it very specifically pertained to what would later be known as “micro-evolution,” which is a slow and gradual change from one species to the next. This, at the time, was a credible *theory*. Plants and animals, as Darwin so famously observed in the Galapagos Islands, do change via DNA mutations and will adapt, via natural selection, to their surroundings.

However, this theory, as an explanation of our origins, has been categorically proven to be wrong. If this theory were true, “that species slowly evolved into man over millions of years,” the fossil record would be abundant with transitional forms. The transitional forms would have existed for millions of years themselves, and certainly left their history behind. Darwin prompted a massive global study of fossils only to discover, the enormous numbers of transitional forms required to support this theory simply do not exist.

Consequently, scientists attempted to save the theory of evolution by inventing the concept of “micro-” and “macro-” evolution. If Darwin’s “micro-evolution” could not explain our origins, then perhaps “macro-evolution” could. Macro-evolution is the theory that species evolved by radical change. The radical change would bypass the need for fossils of transitional forms.

However, this “macro-evolution” theory has never had any credibility for three reasons:

- 1) It requires the sudden mutation of numerous, huge sections of DNA to occur simultaneously in a way that would produce this new life form,
- 2) It requires that a potential mate is also produced with the same radical mutations, and
- 3) It requires that these two events happened so close together in time and space that they could actually find each other and produce offspring.

Ah, but these scientists are persistent! Since neither micro- nor macro-evolution can explain our origins, they invent new terminology, such as “punctual equilibrium” (and other so called scientific terms to describe a variation of the same concept.) This is the theory that evolution generally takes place very slowly over millions of years, but occasionally has rapid bursts of change. This is an obvious attempt to circumvent the problem associated with the lack of transitional forms. This theory also fails miserably for three reasons:

- 1) The slow micro-evolution periods over the millions of years would have still left transitional forms.
- 2) The circumstances that cause rapid mutations are deadly! When we think of rapid mutations, we think of nasty words like x-rays, cosmic rays, radioactive decay, CANCER! We do not think of rapid biological improvements!
- 3) Even if the rapid mutations did survive, these transitional forms would have been profound! Can you imagine an animal with gills and lungs that can breathe open air and under water? Can you imagine an animal that can make its own food via photosynthesis, or eat his tossed salad buddy beside him? These animals would certainly be superior forms of life that

should likely still exist today! (Yet our media focuses on minor subtle changes like how straight a monkey can stand.)

I mentioned these problems to a Harvard physicist friend of mine once. He is extremely educated in evolutionary dogma and yet his response was, “Well - We’re here. It must have happened somehow.”

Thus we have the reason why the theory of evolution is so convoluted today. It is taught with a “bait & switch” tactic. The evolution text books teach micro-evolution. At least micro-evolution is believable. Unfortunately, they normally give the poor “pepper moth” a bad rap in the process. You’ve heard the story. *Prior to the “coal era,” London had a large population of white pepper moths. When the people began using coal for heat, the trees and buildings blackened, and the pepper moth became black. Later, when London cleaned up the environment, the white pepper moth reappeared.* The fact, though, just as people have genes for black or blond hair, the pepper moth has genes for black or white color. Both, the black and the white pepper moth, are the same species.

But the pepper moth story is a subject for a different article. The point is, micro-evolution is taught in a manner that is believable. Then, there is a giant leap of faith, without evidence, that takes the student to some form of macro-evolution, punctual equilibrium, or whatever the latest argument is. This is the classic “bait and switch.” It is merely deception!

Don’t fall for this “Bait and Switch” deception! If you do, your spiritual life will be crippled for life!

Jay A Auxt